arXiv:1703.05242v1 [math.GT] 15 Mar 2017

ON CODIMENSION TWO R-CLOSED FOLIATIONS AND GROUP-ACTIONS

TOMOO YOKOYAMA

Abstract. In this paper, we study R-closed foliations which are generalization of compact Hausdorff foliations. We show that the class space of a codimension-two-like R-closed foliation $\mathcal{F}$ (resp. group-action) on a compact connected manifold M is a surface with conners, which is a generalization of the codimension two compact foliation cases, where the class space is a quotient space $M/\sim$ defined by $x \sim y$ if $\overline{\mathcal{F}(x)} = \overline{\mathcal{F}(y)}$ (resp. $\overline{O(x)} = \overline{O(y)}$). Moreover, a nontrivial R-closed flow on a connected compact 3-manifold is either "almost two dimensional" or "almost one dimensional" or with "complicated" minimal sets. In addition, a homeomorphism on a hyperbolic compact surface S is periodic if and only if it is R-closed (i.e. the orbit class space is Hausdorff). Each minimal set of an R-closed non-minimal non-periodic toral homeomorphism is a finite disjoint union of essential circloids.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Foliations.** Codimension one foliations on compact manifolds are well-studied. For instance, it's shown that a closed manifold M has a smooth codimension one foliation if and only if the Euler characteristic of M is zero [31]. Moreover, all leaves of a codimension one foliation without holonomy on a closed manifold are pairwise homeomorphic [29]. Each codimension one foliation without holonomy on a closed manifold is either compact or minimal, and the base manifold is a fibration over a circle S^1 [32]. Since higher codimension foliations are more flexible than codimension one foliations, most studies of higher codimension foliations are restricted to some classes (e.g. compact foliations, compact Hausdorff foliations). For instance, compact foliations are studied in [8, 10, 11, 12, 23, 35, 36, 38, 43] and compact Hausdorff foliations are studied in [3, 7, 15, 16, 20, 26, 34]. In particular, it's known that the leaf space of a continuous codimension two compact foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of a compact manifold M is a compact orbifold [8, 10, 11, 35, 38]. This implies that each continuous codimension two compact foliation of a compact manifold is R-closed (i.e. the leaf class space is Hausdorff). On the other hand, there are non-R-closed compact foliations and non R-closed flows each of whose orbits is compact for codimension $q > 2$ [30, 13, 37]. Note that the following properties are equivalent for a flow (resp. a homeomorphism) on a compact metric space [9, 40]: 1) R-closed; 2) D-stable; 3) of characteristic 0; 4) weakly almost periodic; 5) Lyapunov stable. In this paper, we study codimension two (resp. one) R-closed foliations, which are

Date: March 16, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57R30, 37C10 (primary), 54B15, 54D10 (secondary).

The author is partially supported by the JST PRESTO Program and by Grant for Basic Science Research Projects from The Sumitomo Foundation at Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University of Education.

generalizations of codimension two (resp. one) compact foliations. To study R-closed foliations, we generalize the characterization of Hausdorff-ness for a compact foliation in [11] into one of R-closedness for a pointwise almost periodic decomposition $\mathcal{F}$ of a compact Hausdorff space X. Precisely, the following are equivalent: 1) The decomposition $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ of closures of elements of $\mathcal{F}$ is upper semi-continuous; 2) The class space $X/\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is Hausdorff; 3) The decomposition $\mathcal{F}$ is R-closed. Moreover, we study codimension-one-like (resp. codimension-two-like) R-closed foliations. Using the above characterization of R-closedness, for $k = 2$ (resp. $k = 1$), we show that the leaf class space of a codimension-k-like R-closed foliation on a compact manifold is a compact surface with conners (resp. $[0, 1]$ or S^1).

1.2. **Group actions.** In [1, 18], it is showed that each action of a finitely generated group G on either a compact zero-dimensional space or a graph X is pointwise recurrent if and only if it is R-closed (i.e. the orbit class space $X/\hat{G}$ is Hausdorff, where the orbit class space $X/\hat{G}$ is a quotient space $X/\sim$ defined by $x \sim y$ if $\overline{O(x)} = \overline{O(y)}$). In this paper, we also study codimension two dynamical systems (e.g. surface homeomorphisms, flows in 3-manifolds). We show that each minimal set of an R-closed non-periodic toral homeomorphism $f : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$ is either $\mathbb{T}^2$ or a finite disjoint union of essential circloids. Moreover, a homeomorphism $f : S \to S$ on a compact surface S whose orientable genus is more than one, is R-closed if and only if it is periodic. For an R-closed flow v on a connected compact 3-manifold M, one of the following statements holds: 1) The flow v is minimal or identical; 2) The orbit class space $M/\hat{v}$ is either $[0, 1]$ or $\mathbb{S}^1$ and each interior point of $M/\hat{v}$ is two dimensional; 3) The set $\mathrm{Per}(v)$ of periodic points is open dense and the flow is pointwise periodic (i.e. $M = \mathrm{Sing}(v) \sqcup \mathrm{Per}(v)$, where $\sqcup$ is the disjoint union symbol); 4) There is a two dimensional minimal set which is not a suspension of a circloid. In [14], they have shown that if a continuous mapping f of a topological space X in itself is pointwise recurrent (resp. pointwise almost periodic) then so is f^k for each positive integer k. This result also holds for group-action cases (see Theorem 2.24, 4.04, and 7.04 [17]). We show that an analogous result holds for R-closed group-action. Indeed, a group acting on a compact Hausdorff locally connected space is R-closed if and only if so is a finite index subgroup.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Decompositions.** By a decomposition, we mean a family $\mathcal{F}$ of pairwise disjoint nonempty subsets of a set X such that $X = \sqcup\mathcal{F}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a decomposition of a topological space X. For any $x \in X$, denote by L_x or $\mathcal{F}(x)$ the element of $\mathcal{F}$ containing x. For a subset V of X, write the saturation $\mathcal{F}(V) = \mathrm{Sat}_{\mathcal{F}}(V) := \bigcup_{x\in V} \mathcal{F}(x)$. A subset $A \subseteq X$ is saturated if $A = \mathcal{F}(A)$. The class $\hat{\mathcal{F}}(x)$ of an element $\mathcal{F}(x)$ is defined by $\hat{\mathcal{F}}(x) := \{y \in X \mid \overline{\mathcal{F}(y)} = \overline{\mathcal{F}(x)}\}$. Then the set $\hat{\mathcal{F}} := \{\hat{\mathcal{F}}(x) \mid x \in X\}$ of classes is a decomposition, called the class decomposition. Denote by $X/\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ the quotient space, called the class space. Note $\hat{\mathcal{F}}(A) = \mathrm{Sat}_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}(A) = \bigcup_{x\in A} \hat{\mathcal{F}}(x)$ for a subset A of X. Recall a point x in X is said to be of characteristic 0 [22] if $\hat{\mathcal{F}}(x) = D(x)$ for any $x \in X$, where $D(x)$ is its (bilateral) prolongation defined as follows: $D(x) = \{y \in X \mid y_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(x_\alpha), y_\alpha \to y, \text{ and } x_\alpha \to x \text{ for some nets } (y_\alpha), (x_\alpha) \subseteq X\}$. The decomposition is said to be of characteristic 0 if so is each point of it. The decomposition $\mathcal{F}$ is upper semicontinuous (usc) if each element of $\mathcal{F}$ is both closed and compact and for any $L \in \mathcal{F}$ and for any open neighbourhood U of L there is a

saturated neighbourhood of L contained in U, is R-closed if $R := \{(x, y) \mid y \in \overline{L_x}\}$ is closed, and is pointwise almost periodic if the set of all closures of elements of $\mathcal{F}$ also is a decomposition. An pointwise almost periodic decomposition $\mathcal{F}$ is weakly almost periodic in the sense of Gottschalk W. H. if the saturation $\cup_{x\in A}\overline{L_x}$ of closures of elements for any closed subset A of X is closed. Notice that if $\mathcal{F}$ is pointwise almost periodic then $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ corresponds to the decomposition of closures of elements of $\mathcal{F}$.

2.2. **Foliations, flows, and group-actions.** By the definition of almost periodicity, the set of compact foliations on compact manifolds is a proper subset of the set of pointwise almost periodic foliations. In [40], the author has shown that the set of compact Hausdorff foliations and of minimal foliations on compact manifolds is a proper subset of the set of R-closed foliations. For a non-negative integer k, a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is said to be codimension-k-like if all but finitely many leaf classes of $\mathcal{F}$ are codimension k closed submanifolds and the finite exceptions have codimensions each of which is more than k. Note that the Anosov alternative for transitive Anosov flows [27] implies that the (un)stable foliation of a non-mixing transitive Anosov flow on a closed manifold is a codimension-one-like R-closed foliation. By a group-action, we mean a continuous action of a topological group G on a topological space X. For a group-action G, denote by $\mathcal{F}_G$ the set of orbits of G. Recall a group-action G is R-closed if the set $\mathcal{F}_G$ of orbits is an R-closed decomposition. In [40], we have shown that each R-closed decomposition is pointwise almost periodic. Therefore G is R-closed if and only if $R := \{(x, y) \mid y \in \overline{G(x)}\}$ is closed. For a non-negative integer k, a group-action G is said to be codimension-k-like if all but finitely many orbit closures of $\mathcal{F}_G$ are codimension k closed connected submanifolds and the finite exceptions is connected subsets each of whose codimension is more than k. By a flow, we mean a continuous $\mathbb{R}$-action on a topological space X. For a flow v on a smooth manifold M, denote by $\mathcal{F}_v$ the decomposition of M into orbits of (the group-action generated by) v. The flow v is said to be R-closed if $\mathcal{F}_v$ is R-closed. Note $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_v = \{\hat{O}_v(x) \mid x \in M\}$, where the orbit class $\hat{O}_v(x)$ is the subset consisting of elements whose orbit closures correspond to the orbit closure of x (i.e. $\hat{O}_v(x) = \{y \in M \mid \overline{O}_v(x) = \overline{O}_v(y)\}$). For an R-closed flow v, write $M/\hat{v} := M/\hat{\mathcal{F}}_v$, called the orbit class space of v.

3. R-closedness and Upper semi continuity

First we show the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a decomposition of a Hausdorff space X. If $\mathcal{F}$ is pointwise almost periodic and $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is usc, then $\mathcal{F}$ is R-closed. If X is compact and $\mathcal{F}$ is R-closed, then $\mathcal{F}$ is pointwise almost periodic and $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is usc.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is pointwise almost periodic and that $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is usc. By Proposition 1.2.1 [5] (p.13), we have that $X/\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is Hausdorff. By Lemma 2.3 [40], we obtain that $\mathcal{F}$ is R-closed. Conversely, suppose that X is compact and $\mathcal{F}$ is R-closed. By Lemma 1.6 [40], we have that $\mathcal{F}$ is pointwise almost periodic and that the quotient map $p : X \to X/\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is closed. Since X is compact Hausdorff, we obtain that each element of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is compact. By Proposition 1.1.1 [5](p.8), we have that $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is usc. □

Notice that the compact condition is necessary. Indeed, the R-closed decomposition $\mathcal{F} = \{X\}$ for a non-compact Hausdorff space X is pointwise almost periodic

but $\hat{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{F}$ is not usc. We show that each open $\mathcal{F}$-saturated subset for a pointwise almost periodic decomposition $\mathcal{F}$ on a Hausdorff space is $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$-saturated.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a pointwise almost periodic decomposition of a topological space. Then each open $\mathcal{F}$-saturated subset is $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$-saturated.*

Proof. Let U be an open $\mathcal{F}$-saturated subset of a topological space X. Then the complement $X - U$ is a closed $\mathcal{F}$-saturated subset of X and so the closure of each element of $\mathcal{F}$ contained in $X - U$ is contained in $X - U$. The pointwise almost periodicity implies that $X - U$ is closed $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$-saturated and so U is open $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$-saturated. □

Using the previous lemma, we have the following statement.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a pointwise almost periodic decomposition of a normal space X. Suppose that, for any open neighbourhood W of each element $L \in \mathcal{F}$, there is an open saturated neighbourhood of L contained in W. Then $X/\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is Hausdorff.*

Proof. Suppose that, for any open neighbourhood W of each element $L \in \mathcal{F}$, there is an open $\mathcal{F}$-saturated neighbourhood of L contained in W. We claim that, for any open neighbourhood U of each element $\hat{L} \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$, there is an open $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$-saturated neighbourhood of $\hat{L}$ contained in U. Indeed, fix any open neighbourhood U of each element $\hat{L} \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$. The hypothesis implies that, for any $L' \in \mathcal{F}$ contained in $\hat{L}$, since U is also an open neighbourhood of L', there is an open $\mathcal{F}$-saturated neighbourhood $U_{L'}$ of L' contained in U. By Lemma 3.2, the union $\bigcup\{U_{L'} \mid L' \in \mathcal{F}, L' \subseteq \hat{L}\}$ is desired. Fix any elements $\hat{L} \neq \hat{L}' \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$. Since X is normal, there are open neighborhoods U_0 (resp. V_0) of $\hat{L}$ (resp. $\hat{L}'$) with $U_0 \cap V_0 = \emptyset$. By the claim, there are open $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$-saturated neighborhoods $U_1 \subseteq U_0$ (resp. $V_1 \subseteq V_0$) of $\hat{L}$ (resp. $\hat{L}'$) such that $U_1 \cap V_1 = \emptyset$. □

Notice that the converse is not true. Indeed, consider $X = \mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$, and homeomorphism f of X by $f(x, y) := (x + \alpha, y)$. Then the decomposition $\mathcal{F}_v$ on $\mathbb{T}^2$ is R-closed. Then the saturation $\mathrm{Sat}_v(A)$ of $A := \{(x, y) \mid y \in [0, 1/2], x \in [1/2, 1/2 + y]\}$ contains $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times (0, 1/2]$ and so the open neighborhood $\mathbb{T}^2 - A$ of the orbit of 0 contains no saturated open neighborhood. Using the characterization of usc decompositions on locally compact Hausdorff spaces (Remark after Theorem 4.1 [11]), we obtain the following key lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a pointwise almost periodic decomposition of a compact Hausdorff space X. The following are equivalent:*
1) $\mathcal{F}$ is R-closed.
2) $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is usc.
3) $X/\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is Hausdorff.
4) The quotient map $p : X \to X/\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is closed (i.e. $\mathcal{F}$ is weakly almost periodic).
5) For any open neighbourhood U of each element $\hat{L} \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$, there is an open $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$-saturated neighbourhood of $\hat{L}$ contained in U.
6) $\mathcal{F}$ is of characteristic 0.

Proof. By Corollary 3.5 [40], the conditions 1) and 6) are equivalent. By Lemma 3.1, the conditions 1) and 2) are equivalent. By Remark after Theorem 4.1 [11], the conditions 2), 3) and 4) are equivalent. Apply Lemma 3.3 to $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$, the condition

5) implies 3). Finally, it suffices to show that the condition 5) can be obtained by other conditions. Fix an open neighbourhood U of each element $\hat{L} \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$. By the closedness of $X - U$, the condition 4) implies that $\mathrm{Sat}_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}(X - U)$ is closed. Since $\hat{L} \cap (X - U) = \emptyset$, we have $\hat{L} \cap \mathrm{Sat}_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}(X - U) = \emptyset$. Therefore the complement $X - \mathrm{Sat}_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}(X - U) \subseteq U$ is a $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$-saturated open neighborhood of $\hat{L}$. □

4. Inherited properties of R-closed group-actions

Recall that a subgroup H of a topological group G is syndetic if there is a compact subset K of G such that $K \cdot H = G$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let G be a group-action on a topological space X, H a syndetic subgroup of G, and $K \subseteq G$ a compact subset with $K \cdot H = G$. If H is R-closed, then so is G with $\overline{G \cdot x} = K \cdot \overline{H \cdot x}$ for any $x \in X$.*

Proof. For any group-action $\pi : G \times Y \to Y$ on a topological space Y, we claim that $K \cdot C$ is closed for a closed subset C of Y. Indeed, fix a point $x \in Y - K \cdot C$. Then $Y - C$ is an open neighborhood of $K^{-1} \cdot x$ and so $\pi^{-1}(Y - C)$ is an open neighborhood of $K^{-1} \times \{x\}$. For any $k \in K^{-1}$, there are neighborhoods $U_k \subseteq Y$, $V_k \subseteq G$ of x, k respectively such that $V_k \times U_k \subseteq \pi^{-1}(Y - C)$. Since K^{-1} is compact, there is a finite subset F of K^{-1} such that $K^{-1} \subseteq \bigcup_{k \in F} V_k$. Then $U := \cap_{k \in F} U_k$ is an open neighborhood of x with $K^{-1} \times U \subseteq \bigcup_{k \in F} V_k \times U_k \subseteq \pi^{-1}(Y - C)$. Hence $K^{-1} \cdot U \subseteq Y - C$. Therefore $(K^{-1} \cdot U) \cap C = \emptyset$ and so $U \cap (K \cdot C) = \emptyset$. This shows that $K \cdot C$ is closed.

Let $R_G := \{(x, y) \mid y \in \overline{G \cdot x}\}$ and $R_H := \{(x, y) \mid y \in \overline{H \cdot x}\}$. Suppose that H is R-closed. Since $\overline{g \cdot (H \cdot x)} = g \cdot \overline{H \cdot x}$ for any $g \in G$, we have $\overline{K \cdot (H \cdot x)} \supseteq \bigcup_{g \in K} \overline{g \cdot (H \cdot x)} = K \cdot \overline{H \cdot x}$. Since $\overline{H \cdot x}$ is closed, the above claim implies $K \cdot \overline{H \cdot x} = \overline{K \cdot \overline{H \cdot x}} \supseteq \overline{K \cdot (H \cdot x)} = \overline{G \cdot x} \supseteq K \cdot \overline{H \cdot x}$ and so $K \cdot \overline{H \cdot x} = \overline{G \cdot x}$. Consider an action of G on $X \times X$ by $g \cdot (x, y) := (x, g^{-1} \cdot y)$. Then $R_G = K \cdot R_H$. Since R_H is closed, the above claim implies that $R_G = K \cdot R_H$ is closed. □

We generalize Lemma 1.1 [42] to group-actions. This statement is an analogous result for recurrence and pointwise almost periodicity (see Theorem 2.24, 4.04, and 7.04 [17]).

Theorem 4.2. *Let G be a group-action on a locally connected compact Hausdorff space X and H a finite index subgroup. Then G is R-closed if and only if so is H.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, the R-closedness of H implies one of G. Conversely, suppose that G is R-closed. Put $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}_G$. By Corollary 1.4 [40], we have that G is pointwise almost periodic. By Theorem 2.24 [17], we have that H is also pointwise almost periodic. By Lemma 3.4, the decomposition $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is usc and it suffices to show that $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_H$ is usc. Fix any $x \in X$. Note that $\mathcal{F}_H(x) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(x)$ and so $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_H(x) \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{F}}(x)$. First suppose that $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_H(x) = \hat{\mathcal{F}}(x)$. For any open neighbourhood U of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}(x) = \hat{\mathcal{F}}_H(x)$, the upper semicontinuity of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ implies that there is an $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$-saturated open neighbourhood V of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}(x)$ contained in U. Since $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_H(x) \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{F}}(x)$, we have that V is also an $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_H$-saturated open neighbourhood V of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}(x)$. Second assume that $\hat{\mathcal{F}}(x) \neq \hat{\mathcal{F}}_H(x)$. Fix an open neighborhood U_0 of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_H(x)$. Let K be a finite subset of G such that $G = H \sqcup (K \cdot H)$. Put $\hat{L} := \hat{\mathcal{F}}_H(x)$ and $K' := \{k \in K \mid \hat{\mathcal{F}}_H(k \cdot x) \cap \hat{\mathcal{F}}_H(x) = \emptyset\}$. Let $\hat{L}' := \hat{\mathcal{F}}_H(K' \cdot x)$ be the saturation of $K' \cdot x$. Since K' is finite, we obtain

$\hat{L}' = K' \cdot \hat{L}$. Then $\hat{L}$ and $\hat{L}'$ are closed. By Lemma 4.1, the pointwise almost periodicity of H implies that $\hat{L} \sqcup \hat{L}' = \hat{\mathcal{F}}(x)$. Since X is normal, there are disjoint open neighborhoods U, U' of L, L' respectively such that $\overline{U} \subseteq U_0$. Since $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is usc, there are open subsets $V \subseteq U$, $V' \subseteq U'$ such that $V \sqcup V'$ is an $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$-saturated neighborhood of $\hat{L} \sqcup \hat{L}'$. Since $\hat{\mathcal{F}}(x)$ is compact and X is locally connected, there are finitely many connected open subsets $B_1, \ldots, B_k \subseteq V$ such that $B_i \cap \hat{L} \neq \emptyset$ and $\hat{L} \subseteq B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_k \subseteq V$. Put $B := B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_k$. For any $h \in H$ and $i = 1, \ldots, k$, the $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_H$-saturated property of $\hat{L} = \hat{\mathcal{F}}_H(x)$ implies that $h \cdot B_i \cap \hat{L} \neq \emptyset$ and so $h \cdot B_i \cap V \neq \emptyset$. The $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$-saturated property of $V \sqcup V'$ implies $h \cdot B_i \subseteq V \sqcup V'$. Since $h \cdot B_i$ is connected and $h \cdot B_i \cap V \neq \emptyset$, we obtain $h \cdot B_i \subseteq V$ and so $H \cdot B \subseteq V$. Since $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_H(B) \subseteq \overline{H \cdot B} \subseteq \overline{U} \subseteq U_0$, the neighborhood $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_H(B)$ of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_H(x)$ is desired. □

The author would like to know wether the locally connectivity condition is necessary or not, and wether the finite condition can be replaced by the syndetic condition.

5. Another inherited properties of R-closed group-actions

Let $\mathcal{F}$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}$) be a decomposition of a topological space X (resp. Y) and $f : X \to Y$ a continuous mapping. We call that f is a semi-conjugacy from $\mathcal{F}$ to $\mathcal{G}$ if f is surjective and the image of each element of $\mathcal{F}$ is an element of $\mathcal{G}$ (i.e. $f(\mathcal{F}(x)) = \mathcal{G}(f(x))$ for any $x \in X$). Then $\mathcal{F}$ is said to be semi-conjugate to $\mathcal{G}$. The semi-conjugacy preserves pointwise almost periodicity.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a decomposition of a compact space X, $\mathcal{G}$ a decomposition of a Hausdorff space Y, and $p : X \to Y$ a semi-conjugacy from $\mathcal{F}$ to $\mathcal{G}$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is pointwise almost periodic, then so is $\mathcal{G}$.*

Proof. For any element $L \in \mathcal{G}$, the surjectivity of p implies that there is an element $F \in \mathcal{F}$ with $f(F) = L$. Then $f(\overline{F}) = \overline{L}$. Indeed, we have $\overline{F} \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{L})$ and so $f(\overline{F}) \subseteq \overline{L}$. Since X is compact, so is $\overline{F}$. The Hausdorff property of Y implies that the compact subset $f(\overline{F})$ is closed and so $f(\overline{F}) \supseteq \overline{L}$. Suppose that there is an element $L \in \mathcal{G}$ whose closure is not minimal. Then there is an element $L_0 \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\overline{L_0} \subsetneq \overline{L}$. Fix an element $F \in \mathcal{F}$ with $f(F) = L$. Since $f(\overline{F}) = \overline{L}$, there is an element $F_0 \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $F_0 \subset \overline{F}$ and $f(F_0) = L_0$. Since $f(\overline{F_0}) = \overline{L_0} \subsetneq \overline{L} = f(\overline{F})$, we have $\overline{F_0} \subsetneq \overline{F}$. This contradict to the pointwise almost periodicity of $\mathcal{F}$. Thus $\mathcal{G}$ is pointwise almost periodic. □

We show that a semi-conjugacy from $\mathcal{F}$ to $\mathcal{G}$ implies the a semi-conjugacy from $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ to $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ if the decompositions $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are pointwise almost periodic.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}$) be a pointwise almost periodic decomposition of a compact Hausdorff space X (resp. Y) and $p : X \to Y$ a semi-conjugacy from $\mathcal{F}$ to $\mathcal{G}$. Then $p(\hat{\mathcal{F}}(A)) = \hat{\mathcal{G}}(p(A))$ for any $A \subseteq X$.*

Proof. Since $\hat{\mathcal{F}}(A) = \bigcup_{x \in A} \hat{\mathcal{F}}(x)$, it suffices to show $p(\hat{\mathcal{F}}(x)) = \hat{\mathcal{G}}(p(x))$ for any $x \in X$. Fix any point $x \in X$. First we show $p(\overline{\mathcal{F}(x)}) = \overline{\mathcal{G}(p(x))}$. Indeed, the compactness of $\overline{\mathcal{F}(x)}$ implies that $p(\overline{\mathcal{F}(x)})$ is compact and so closed. Therefore $p(\overline{\mathcal{F}(x)}) \supseteq \overline{p(\mathcal{F}(x))} = \overline{\mathcal{G}(p(x))}$. Conversely, since $p(\mathcal{F}(x)) = \mathcal{G}(p(x))$, we obtain $\mathcal{F}(x) \subseteq p^{-1}(\mathcal{G}(p(x))) \subseteq p^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{G}(p(x))})$ and so $\overline{\mathcal{F}(x)} \subseteq p^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{G}(p(x))})$. Therefore

$p(\overline{\mathcal{F}(x)}) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{G}(p(x))}$. The pointwise almost periodicity implies $p(\hat{\mathcal{F}}(x)) = p(\overline{\mathcal{F}(x)}) = \overline{\mathcal{G}(p(x))} = \hat{\mathcal{G}}(p(x))$. □

The semi-conjugacy preserves R-closedness.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}$) be a decomposition of a compact Hausdorff space X (resp. Y). Suppose $\mathcal{F}$ is semi-conjugate to $\mathcal{G}$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is R-closed, then so is $\mathcal{G}$.*

Proof. Fix any closed subset K of Y. By Lemma 3.4, it suffices to show that the saturation $\hat{\mathcal{G}}(K)$ of $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ is closed. Let $p : X \to Y$ be a semi-conjugacy. Since $p^{-1}(K)$ is closed, the R-closedness of $\mathcal{F}$ implies that $\hat{\mathcal{F}}(p^{-1}(K))$ is closed and so compact, because X is compact. By the surjectivity of p, we have $p(p^{-1}(K)) = K$. The semi-conjugacy implies that $p(\hat{\mathcal{F}}(p^{-1}(K))) = \hat{\mathcal{G}}(p(p^{-1}(K))) = \hat{\mathcal{G}}(K)$ is compact and so closed, because Y is Hausdorff. □

Consider group-actions to apply Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 5.3. Let G (resp. H) be a group-action on a topological space X (resp. Y) and $p : X \to Y$ a continuous mapping. As circle homeomorphism cases, we call that p is a semi-conjugacy if p is a surjection whose image of an orbit of G is an orbit of H (i.e. $p(G(x)) = H(p(x))$ for any point $x \in X$). Then G is said to be semi-conjugate to H. By Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 5.3, we have the following statement.

Corollary 5.4. *Suppose a group-action G on a compact Hausdorff space X is semi-conjugate to a group-action H on a compact Hausdorff space Y. If G is pointwise almost periodic (resp. R-closed), then so is H.*

We also state the inherited property for orientation double covers.

Proposition 5.5. *Let G be a group-action on a non-orientable compact manifold X and $\widetilde{X}$ the orientable double cover of X. If G is pointwise almost periodic (resp. R-closed), then so is the induced action on $\widetilde{X}$ from G.*

Proof. Let G be a pointwise almost periodic group-action on a non-orientable compact manifold X and $p : \widetilde{X} \to X$ the double covering map. For a point $x \in X$, put $\{x_+, x_-\} := p^{-1}(x)$. For $A \subseteq X$, we have $p^{-1}(A) = \{x_+, x_- \mid x \in A\}$ and so $\overline{p^{-1}(A)} = p^{-1}(\overline{A}) = \{x_+, x_- \mid x \in \overline{A}\}$. We show that the orbit closures $\overline{O_{x_+}}$ and $\overline{O_{x_-}}$ for any point $x \in X$ are the orbit classes with either $\hat{O}_{x_+} = \hat{O}_{x_-} = p^{-1}(\hat{O}_x)$ or $\hat{O}_{x_+} \sqcup \hat{O}_{x_-} = p^{-1}(\hat{O}_x)$. Indeed, since $O_x = p(O_{x_+}) = p(O_{x_-})$, we have $p^{-1}(O_x) = O_{x_+} \cup O_{x_-}$. Therefore $O_{x_+} \cup O_{x_-} \subseteq p^{-1}(\overline{O_x}) = \overline{p^{-1}(O_x)} = \overline{O_{x_+} \cup O_{x_-}} = \overline{O_{x_+}} \cup \overline{O_{x_-}}$. Since $\hat{O}_x = \overline{O_x}$, we have $\hat{O}_{x_+} \cup \hat{O}_{x_-} \subseteq p^{-1}(\hat{O}_x) = \overline{O_{x_+}} \cup \overline{O_{x_-}}$. For any $y \in \overline{O_{x_+}}$ we obtain $p(y) \in \hat{O}_x$ and so $\{x_+, x_-\} \cap \overline{O_y} \neq \emptyset$. The symmetry of x_- and x_+ implies that $\{y_-\} := p^{-1}(p(y)) - \{y\} \subseteq \overline{O_{x_-}}$. Suppose that there is a point $y \in \overline{O_{x_+}}$ such that $x_- \in \overline{O_y}$. Then $x_+ \in \overline{O_{y_-}} \subseteq \overline{O_{x_-}} \subseteq \overline{O_y}$. This means $x_+ \in \overline{O_y}$. Therefore $\overline{O_{x_-}} = \overline{O_y} = \overline{O_{x_+}}$ and so $\hat{O}_{x_+} = \overline{O_{x_+}}$. Then $\hat{O}_{x_-} = \hat{O}_{x_+} = p^{-1}(\hat{O}_x)$. Thus we may assume that $x_- \notin \overline{O_y}$ for any $y \in \overline{O_{x_+}}$. Then $x_+ \in \overline{O_y}$ and so $x_- \notin \overline{O_y} = \overline{O_{x_+}}$. This implies $\overline{O_{x_+}} \cap \overline{O_{x_-}} = \emptyset$. In particular, we have $\hat{O}_{x_+} \cap \overline{O_{x_-}} = \emptyset$ and $\hat{O}_{x_-} \cap \overline{O_{x_+}} = \emptyset$. For any $z_+ \in \overline{O_{x_+}}$, since $\hat{O}_{p(z_+)} = \hat{O}_x = \overline{O_x}$ and $\overline{O_{z_+}} \cap \overline{O_{x_-}} = \emptyset$, we obtain $\hat{O}_z = \hat{O}_{x_+}$ and so $\overline{O_{x_+}} = \hat{O}_{x_+}$. The symmetry of x_+ and x_- implies that $\overline{O_{x_-}} = \hat{O}_{x_-}$ and so $\hat{O}_{x_+} \sqcup \hat{O}_{x_-} = p^{-1}(\hat{O}_x)$. Thus G is pointwise almost periodic.

Suppose that G is R-closed. By Lemma 3.4, it suffices to show that $\widetilde{X}/\hat{\mathcal{F}}_G$ is Hausdorff. Indeed, fix any orbit classes $\hat{O} \neq \hat{O}' \subseteq \widetilde{X}$ of G. Suppose that $p(\hat{O}) \neq p(\hat{O}')$. The R-closedness on X implies that there are disjoint open saturated neighborhoods U, U' of $p(\hat{O})$, $p(\hat{O}')$ respectively. Then the inverse images $p^{-1}(U)$ and $p^{-1}(U')$ are disjoint saturated neighborhoods of $\hat{O}$ and $\hat{O}'$ respectively. Suppose that $p(\hat{O}) = p(\hat{O}')$. Put $O_x := p(\hat{O}) = p(\hat{O}')$, $\hat{O}_{x_+} := \hat{O}$, and $\hat{O}_{x_-} := \hat{O}'$. Fix a Riemann metric d on X. Let $\widetilde{d}$ be the induced metric of d on $\widetilde{X}$ and $D := \widetilde{d}(\hat{O}_{x_-}, \hat{O}_{x_+})$. For a subset A, we denote by $B_\varepsilon(A)$ the open ε-neighborhood of A. Fix a small positive number $\varepsilon < D/3$ such that the restriction $p|_{B_\varepsilon(\widetilde{x})}$ for each point $\widetilde{x} \in \widetilde{X}$ is an embedding. Then $p(B_\varepsilon(\hat{O}_{x_-})) = p(B_\varepsilon(\hat{O}_{x_+})) = B_\varepsilon(\hat{O}_x)$ and $B_\varepsilon(\hat{O}_{x_-}) \cap B_\varepsilon(\hat{O}_{x_+}) = \emptyset$. The R-closedness on X implies that there is a $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_G$-saturated neighborhood $U \subset B_\varepsilon(\hat{O}_x)$ of $\hat{O}_x$. We may assume that each connected component of U intersects $\hat{O}_x$, by replacing U with the resulting subset from U by removing connected components of U each of which does not intersect $\hat{O}_x$. Write $U_+ := p^{-1}(U) \cap B_\varepsilon(\hat{O}_{x_+})$ and $U_- := p^{-1}(U) \cap B_\varepsilon(\hat{O}_{x_-})$. Then $p^{-1}(U) = U_+ \sqcup U_-$. Fix any point $y \in U_+$. Then there is a path $\gamma \subset U_+$ from y to a point in O_{x_+}. Since $p^{-1}(U) = U_+ \sqcup U_-$, the path $g(\gamma)$ for each $g \in G$ is also a path in U_+ from $g(y)$ to a point in O_{x_+}. This means that U_+ is saturated. By the symmetry, the neighborhoods U_+ and U_- of $\hat{O}$ and $\hat{O}'$ respectively are disjoint saturated. □

6. Minimal sets of R-closed surface homeomorphisms

Recall that a minimal set $\mathcal{C}$ on a surface homeomorphism $f : S \to S$ is an extension of a Cantor set (resp. a periodic orbit) if there are a homeomorphism $g : S \to S$ and a semi-conjugacy $p : S \to S$ with $p \circ f = g \circ p$ such that p is homotopic to the identity and $p(\mathcal{C})$ is a Cantor set (resp. a periodic orbit) which is a minimal set of g. By a continuum we mean a compact connected metrizable space which is not a singleton. A continuum C of a topological space X is said to be annular if it has a neighborhood $U \subset X$ homeomorphic to an open annulus
$S^1 \times (0, 1)$ such that $U - C$ has exactly two components each of which is homeomorphic to an open annulus. We call such U an annular neighborhood of C. We show the invariance of Cantor sets.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $f : X \to X$ (resp. $g : Y \to Y$) be a homeomorphism on a locally connected compact Hausdorff space X (resp. Y), $\mathcal{F}$(resp. G) the decomposition of orbits of f (resp. g), $h : X \to Y$ a semi-conjugacy from $\mathcal{F}$ to $\mathcal{G}$, and $x \in X$. Suppose f is pointwise almost periodic and each inverse image of h is finite. If $\hat{O}_g(h(x))$ is a Cantor set, then so is $\hat{O}_f(x)$.*

Proof. Recall that a compact subset in a metrizable space is a Cantor set if and only if it is totally disconnected and perfect. By Proposition 5.5, g is also pointwise almost periodic. By Lemma 5.2, we have $\hat{O}_g(h(x)) = h(\hat{O}_f(x))$. Since $\hat{O}_g(h(x)) = h(\hat{O}_f(x))$ is a Cantor set, the minimal set $\hat{O}_f(x)$ has infinitely many points and so is not a periodic orbit. This implies that the minimal set $\hat{O}_f(x)$ has no isolated points. This implies that it is perfect. Assume that there is a non-degenerate connected component C of $\hat{O}_f(x)$. Since $h(C) \subset \hat{O}_g(h(x))$ is also connected and so a singleton and $h^{-1}(h(C))$ is finite, we have that C is finite and so degenerate, which contradict to the definition of C. Thus $\hat{O}_f(x)$ is a Cantor set. □

Lemma 6.2. *Let $f : X \to X$ be a pointwise almost periodic homeomorphism on a locally connected compact Hausdorff space X and $x \in X$. If $\hat{O}_f(x)$ is a Cantor set, then so is $\hat{O}_{f^N}(x)$ for any positive number N.*

Proof. Fix a positive iteration $g := f^N$. Since $\hat{O}_g(x) \subseteq \hat{O}_f(x)$, we have that $\hat{O}_g(x)$ is totally disconnected. Assume $\hat{O}_g(x)$ is not perfect. Then there is an isolated point in $\hat{O}_g(x)$. This implies that $\hat{O}_g(x)$ is periodic and so $\hat{O}_f(x)$ is periodic, which contradicts that $\hat{O}_f(x)$ is a Cantor set. □

We show the connectivity of minimal sets are invariant under iterations.

Lemma 6.3. *Let G be a group-action on a locally compact Hausdorff space X, H a finite index subgroup, and $x \in X$. Suppose that G is pointwise almost periodic. If $\overline{G(x)}$ is connected, then $\overline{G(x)} = \overline{H(x)}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.24 [17], the subgroup H is also pointwise almost periodic. Assume that $\overline{G(x)} \neq \overline{H(x)}$. Let K be a finite subset of G such that $G = H \sqcup (K \cdot H)$. Put $K' := \{k \in K \mid \overline{H(x)} \cap k \cdot \overline{H(x)} = \emptyset\}$. Since H is of finite index, the orbit closure $\overline{G(x)} = \overline{K \cdot (H \cdot x)} = K \cdot \overline{H(x)} = \overline{H(x)} \sqcup (K' \cdot \overline{H(x)})$ is disconnected, which contradicts to the connectivity of it. □

Now we show the following tool.

Lemma 6.4. *Let $f : S \to S$ be an R-closed homeomorphism on a compact surface S. Then f has no minimal set which is an extension of a Cantor set.*

Proof. Suppose that there is a minimal set $\mathcal{M}$ of f which is an extension of a Cantor set. Taking the double of S, by Proposition 5.5, we may assume that S is closed and orientable. By the definition of an extension of a Cantor set, there are a surface homeomorphism $g : S \to S$, a semi-conjugacy $p : S \to S$ from f to g, and a Cantor minimal set $\mathcal{C} := p(\mathcal{M})$ of g. It suffices to show the non-existence of such a minimal set $\mathcal{C}$. By Corollary 5.4, we obtain that g is an R-closed homeomorphism with a Cantor minimal set $\mathcal{C}$ on a closed orientable surface S. Since a Cantor set is zero-dimensional, there is a basis of $\mathcal{C}$ consisting of closed and open subsets. We show that, for a point $x \in \mathcal{C}$, there is an open disk U_x which is a neighborhood of x and whose boundary ∂U_x does not intersect $\mathcal{C}$, where $\partial U_x := \overline{U_x} - \mathrm{int} U_x$. Indeed, fix a small open ball U_0 centered at x. Since there is a basis of $\mathcal{C}$ consisting of closed and open subsets, there is an open neighborhoods $U_1 \subseteq U_0$ such that $\mathcal{C} \cap \partial U_1 = \emptyset$. Define the filling $\mathrm{Fill}_{U_0}(U_1)$ of U_1 in U_0 as follows: $y \in \mathrm{Fill}_{U_0}(U_1)$ if there is a simple closed curve in U_1 which bounds an open disk in U_0 containing x. Then the filling $U_x := \mathrm{Fill}_{U_0}(U_1)$ is a desired open disk containing x such that $\mathcal{C} \cap \partial U_x = \emptyset$. The compactness of $\mathcal{C}$ implies that there are finitely many points $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\mathcal{C} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k U_{x_i}$. Let $D_{x_i} := \mathrm{Sat}_g(\partial U_{x_i})$ be the saturation of the boundary of U_{x_i} and $D := \bigcup_{i=1}^k D_{x_i}$. By the R-closedness of g, the saturation D is closed such that $D \cap \mathcal{C} = \emptyset$. Since X is normal, there are an open neighborhood $U_2 \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^k U_{x_i} \cap g(\bigcup_{i=1}^k U_{x_i})$ (resp. V_2) of $\mathcal{C}$ (resp. D) with $U_2 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$ and $V_2 = \bigcup_{i=1}^k V_{x_i}$ such that V_{x_i} is an annular neighborhood of ∂U_{x_i} and each $U_{x_i} \setminus V_{x_i}$ is a closed disk. Notice that each connected component of U_2 is contained in some closed disk $U_{x_i} \setminus V_{x_i}$ and so contractible in $U_{x_i} \setminus V_{x_i}$. By the R-closedness of g, there is an open saturated neighborhood $U \subseteq U_2$ of $\mathcal{C}$. Then each connected component of U is contained and contractible in some closed disk $U_{x_i} \setminus V_{x_i}$. Fix

any point $x \in \mathcal{C}$. Let W be the connected component of U containing x and $B_x := U_{x_l} \setminus V_{x_l}$ the closed disk containing W for some $l = 1, \ldots, k$. Since $\mathcal{C} \cap D = \emptyset$, the connected component W is the connected component of $B_x \cap \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} g^n(W)$ containing x. Define the first return map $G : W \to W$ by $G(y) := g^{N(y)}(y)$ for any $y \in W$, where $N(y) := \min\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \mid g^n(y) \in W\}$. The connectivity of W implies that $N(y)$ is a constant $N(W) > 0$, and that $g^{\pm N(W)}(W) \subseteq W$. This means that $G(W) = g^{N(W)}(W) = W$ and so that G is a homeomorphism. Taking an iteration of G, we may assume that G maps the outer boundary $\partial(\mathrm{Fill}_{B_x}(W))$ of W into itself.

Note that $x \in W \subset B_x$. Since U is saturated, the image $G^n(\gamma)$ for a simple closed curve γ in W and for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a simple closed curve which is the boundary of an open disk in B_x. By the construction of $\mathrm{Fill}_{B_x}(W)$, we can extend G into a mapping $G : \mathrm{Fill}_{B_x}(W) \to \mathrm{Fill}_{B_x}(W)$. Since the original g is R-closed and homeomorphic, by Theorem 4.2, so is the iteration G. By Lemma 3.4, $\mathrm{Fill}_{B_x}(W)/\hat{G} \cong \mathrm{Sat}_G(\mathrm{Fill}_{B_x}(W))/\hat{G}$ is Hausdorff. The R-closedness of g implies that the boundary $\partial(\mathrm{Sat}_G(\mathrm{Fill}_{B_x}(W))) \subseteq \partial(\mathrm{Sat}_G(W)) \subseteq \partial U$ is a saturated closed subset. By the one point compactification S of $\mathrm{Fill}_{B_x}(W)$, the normality of M imply that S is homeomorphic to $\overline{\mathrm{Fill}_{B_x}(W)}/\sim_\partial$ and that the orbit class space of the induced homeomorphism on the sphere S by G is Hausdorff, where the equivalent relation $\sim_\partial$ is defined as follows: $x \sim_\partial y$ if either $x = y$ or $\{x, y\} \subseteq \partial(\mathrm{Fill}_{B_x}(W))$. By Lemma 3.4, the resulting homeomorphism is an R-closed homeomorphism on a sphere with a Cantor minimal set. This contradicts to Corollary 2.6 [41]. Thus there are no Cantor minimal sets. □

Recall that a homeomorphism $f : X \to X$ is periodic if there is a positive integer n such that f^n is identical. We say a subset $C \subset X$ is a circloid if it is an annular continuum and does not contain any strictly smaller annular continuum as a subset. The combination of Lemma 6.4 and Theorem 3.2 [41] implies the following result.

Theorem 6.5. *Each R-closed toral homeomorphism f satisfies one of the following:*
1. *f is minimal.*
2. *f is periodic.*
3. *Each minimal set is a finite disjoint union of essential circloids.*

We show that an R-closed homeomorphism on a hyperbolic compact surface is periodic.

Theorem 6.6. *Each homeomorphism on a connected compact surface S whose Euler number is negative is periodic if and only if it is R-closed.*

Proof. Let f be a homeomorphism on a compact surface S whose Euler number is negative. Suppose that f is periodic. Since the orbit class space of a periodic homeomorphism on a compact manifold is Hausdorff, the homeomorphism f is R-closed. Conversely, suppose that f is R-closed. Taking the double of S, by Proposition 5.5, we may assume that S is closed and orientable and the Euler number of S is negative. Then the genus of S is at least two. By Lemma 6.4, there is no minimal set which is an extension of a Cantor set. By Theorem 3.1 [41], we have that f is pointwise periodic. By Theorem [24], each pointwise periodic surface homeomorphism is periodic and so is f. □

7. Toral minimal sets

Recall that a flow is trivial if it is identical or minimal. For a flow v on a 3-manifold, a minimal set $\mathcal{M}$ of v is called a suspension of a circloid for v if there are an open annulus A transverse to v and a circloid C in A whose saturation $\mathrm{Sat}_v(C)$ is $\mathcal{M}$ with $C = \mathcal{M} \cap A$. We obtain the following statements for flows on 3-manifolds.

Lemma 7.1. *Let v be an R-closed flow on a connected compact 3-manifold M. Then the union U of suspensions of circloids is open and the quotient space $U/\hat{v}$ is a 1-manifold.*

Proof. Let A be an open annulus transverse to v and $C \subset A$ a circloid whose saturation is a suspension $\mathcal{M}$ of a circloid with $C = \mathcal{M} \cap A$ and $\mathcal{M} \cap \partial A = \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathrm{Sing}(v) = \emptyset$. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_v$-saturated and connected, there are small connected neighbourhoods $U_1, U_2 \subseteq \mathrm{Sat}_v(A)$ of $\mathcal{M}$ with $\overline{U_1}, \overline{U_2} \subseteq \mathrm{Sat}_v(A)$ such that $U_i \cap A$ are connected and the first return map $f_v : U_1 \cap A \to U_2 \cap A$ is well-defined. Note $\partial U_i \cap \mathcal{M} = \emptyset$. Since v is R-closed and so $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_v$ is usc, there is an $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_v$-saturated connected open neighbourhood $V \subseteq U_1 \cap U_2$ of $\mathcal{M}$. We show that there is an $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_v$-saturated open connected neighbourhood $W \subseteq V$ of $\mathcal{M}$ such that the first return map $f_{W_A} := f_v| : W_A \to W_A$ is well-defined and homeomorphic, where $W_A := W \cap A$. Indeed, for a point $x \in C$, there is a small open connected neighborhood $W_x \subseteq V \cap A$ of $x \in C$ such that the first return time map $t_x : W_x \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ with $f_v(y) = v(t_x(y), y) \in V \cap A$ for any $y \in W_x$ is continuous. Since C is compact, there are finitely many points $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in C$ such that $C \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n W_{x_i}$. Then $W_C := \bigcup_{i=1}^n W_{x_i} \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^n f_v(W_{x_i}))$ is an open neighborhood of C. Moreover the first return time map $t_C : W_C \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is well-defined and continuous. Since v is R-closed, there is an $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_v$-saturated open neighbourhood $W \subseteq \{v([0, t_C(y)], y) \mid y \in W_C\}$ of $\mathcal{M}$. Put $W_A := W \cap A \subseteq W_C$. Then $W_A \subset U_1 \cap U_2 \cap A$. Since W is $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_v$-saturated, we have $f_v(W_A) \subseteq W_A$ and $f_v^{-1}(W_A) \subseteq W_A$. This means $f_v(W_A) = W_A$ and so $f_v| : W_A \to W_A$ is a homeomorphism. Replacing W_A with the $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_v$-saturation $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_v(U)$ of an open annular neighborhood $U \subseteq W_A$ of the f_v-minimal set C, we may assume that W_A is connected. Let B be the union of the connected components of $A - W_A$ which are contractible in A. Then it suffices to show that $B \cup W_A$ is an open annulus and $\mathrm{Sat}_v(B \cup W_A)$ is an $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_v$-saturated connected open neighbourhood of $\mathcal{M}$ which consists of suspensions of circloids. Indeed, define an open annulus $\mathrm{Fill}_A(W_A)$ as follows: $p \in \mathrm{Fill}_A(W_A)$ if there is a simple closed curve in W_A which bounds a disk in A containing $p \in A$. Since each point of B is bounded by a simple closed curve in W_A, we have that $B \sqcup W_A = \mathrm{Fill}_A(W_A)$ and $f' := f_v| : \mathrm{Fill}_A(W_A) \to \mathrm{Fill}_A(W_A)$ is a homeomorphism. Since C is a circloid and A is the annular neighbourhood, we obtain that $\mathrm{Fill}_A(W_A)$ is an open annulus. Since v is R-closed, we have that $M/\hat{v}$ is Hausdorff and so is $\mathrm{Fill}_A(W_A)/\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{f'}$. By the two point compactification of $\mathrm{Fill}_A(W_A)$, we obtain the resulting sphere S and the resulting homeomorphism f_S with the two periodic points which are the added new points. By the construction, $S/\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{f_S}$ is the two point compactification of $\mathrm{Fill}_A(W_A)/\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{f'}$. Replacing f' with f'^2 if necessary, we may assume that the added new points are fixed points. Since $A \cap \partial(\mathrm{Sat}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathrm{Fill}_A(W_A))) = \partial(\mathrm{Fill}_A(W_A))$, we have $\mathrm{Sat}_{\mathcal{F}}(\partial(\mathrm{Fill}_A(W_A))) \subseteq \partial(\mathrm{Sat}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathrm{Fill}_A(W_A)))$. Then $\mathrm{Sat}_{\mathcal{F}}(\partial(\mathrm{Fill}_A(W_A))) = \partial(\mathrm{Sat}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathrm{Fill}_A(W_A)))$. Indeed, otherwise there is a point $x \in \partial(\mathrm{Sat}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathrm{Fill}_A(W_A))) - \mathrm{Sat}_{\mathcal{F}}(\partial(\mathrm{Fill}_A(W_A)))$. Since $\mathrm{Sat}_{\mathcal{F}}(\partial(\mathrm{Fill}_A(W_A)))$ is compact, there are finitely many points $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in \mathrm{Sat}_{\mathcal{F}}(\partial(\mathrm{Fill}_A(W_A)))$ and their $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_v$-saturated neighborhoods U_i

of x_i such that $\mathrm{Sat}_{\mathcal{F}}(\partial(\mathrm{Fill}_A(W_A))) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k U_i$ and $x \notin \overline{U_i}$. Then $\mathrm{Sat}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathrm{Fill}_A(W_A)) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^k \overline{U_i}$ is a closed $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_v$-saturated neighborhood of $\mathrm{Sat}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathrm{Fill}_A(W_A))$ which does not intersect x. This implies that $x \notin \overline{\mathrm{Sat}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathrm{Fill}_A(W_A))}$, which contradicts to the choice of x. Since M is normal, the R-closedness of v implies that $S/\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{f_S}$ is Hausdorff and so f_S is R-closed. By Corollary 2.6 [41], we obtain that S consists of two fixed points and circloids. Then the open neighbourhood $\mathrm{Sat}_v(B \sqcup W_A) = \mathrm{Sat}_v(\mathrm{Fill}_A(W_A))$ of $\mathcal{M}$ consists of suspensions of circloids. Therefore the union of suspensions of circloids are open. □

By dimension, we mean Lebesgue covering dimension. By Urysohn's theorem, the Lebesgue covering dimension and the small inductive dimension are corresponded in normal spaces. A compact metric space X whose inductive dimension is $n > 0$ is an n-dimensional Cantor manifold if the complement $X - L$ for any closed subset L of X whose inductive dimension is less than $n-1$ is connected. It's known that a compact connected manifold is a Cantor-manifold [21, 33].

Lemma 7.2. *Let M be a connected compact manifold, $\mathcal{F}$ an R-closed decomposition on M, and $U \subseteq M$ non-empty open saturated subset. If the codimension of $\mathrm{Sat}_{\mathcal{F}}(\partial U)$ is more than one, then $\overline{U} = M$.*

Proof. The R-closedness implies that $\mathrm{Sat}_{\mathcal{F}}(\partial U)$ is closed. Fix a metric d on M. Since a compact connected manifold is a Cantor manifold, we have that $M - \mathrm{Sat}_{\mathcal{F}}(\partial U)$ is connected. Since $U = \overline{U} \setminus \mathrm{Sat}_{\mathcal{F}}(\partial U)$ is closed and open in $M - \mathrm{Sat}_{\mathcal{F}}(\partial U)$, the connectivity of $M - \mathrm{Sat}_{\mathcal{F}}(\partial U)$ implies that $M = U \sqcup \mathrm{Sat}_{\mathcal{F}}(\partial U)$. Since the codimension of $\mathrm{Sat}_{\mathcal{F}}(\partial U)$ is more than one, we have $U \cap B_\varepsilon(x) \neq \emptyset$ for any $x \in \mathrm{Sat}_{\mathcal{F}}(\partial U)$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$. Therefore $\mathrm{Sat}_{\mathcal{F}}(\partial U) \subset \overline{U}$ and so $\overline{U} = M$. □

Recall that a minimal set is trivial if it is either a closed orbit or the whole manifold.

Lemma 7.3. *Let v be an R-closed flow on a connected compact 3-manifold M. Suppose that each two dimensional minimal set is a suspension of a circloid. If there is a suspension of a circloid, then the orbit class space $M/\hat{v}$ of M is a closed interval or a circle such that there are at most two orbits each of which is not suspension of a circloid.*

Proof. By Lemma 7.1, the union U of suspensions of circloids is open and the quotient space of it is a 1-manifold. The Hausdorff property of $M/\hat{v}$ implies that $\overline{U}/\hat{v}$ is either a closed interval or a circle. The R-closedness implies that ∂U consists of at most two orbit closures each of whose dimension of ∂U is at most one. By Lemma 7.2, we have that $\overline{U} = M$ and so that $M/\hat{v}$ is a closed interval or a circle. □

The following statement is obtained by the similar argument as the proof of Lemma 6.4.

Lemma 7.4. *Let v be an R-closed flow on a connected compact 3-manifold M. Each one dimensional minimal set is a periodic orbit.*

Proof. Suppose that there is a one-dimensional minimal set $\mathcal{M}$ which is not a periodic orbit. Since $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathrm{Sing}(v) = \emptyset$, there is a neighborhood of $\mathcal{M}$. By the R-closedness of v, there is an open saturated neighborhood U of $\mathcal{M}$ without singularities. Since a locally compact Hausdorff space is zero-dimensional if and only if

it is totally disconnected, each nonempty zero-dimensional perfect compact metrizable space is homeomorphic to the Cantor set. This implies that the minimal set $\mathcal{M}$ is transversally a Cantor set. In fact, there is a transverse open disk $T \subset U$ to v such that $\mathcal{C} := T \cap \mathcal{M}$ is a Cantor set. Then we may assume that $\partial T \cap \mathcal{M} = \emptyset$. Indeed, since a Cantor set has a basis consisting of open and closed subsets, for any point $x \in \mathcal{C}$, there is an open disk $V_x \subseteq T$ containing x with $\mathcal{C} \cap \partial V_x = \emptyset$. The compactness of $\mathcal{C}$ implies that there are finitely many points $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in \mathcal{C}$ such that the filling of the union $\bigcup_{i=1}^k V_{x_i}$ is an open disk whose boundary does not intersect $\mathcal{C}$ and which contains $\mathcal{C}$. Replacing T with the filling, we are done. Taking V_x for any point $x \in \mathcal{C}$ small, we may assume that the first return time map $t_x : V_x \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ with $v(t_x(y), y) \in T$ for any $y \in V_x$ is continuous. Since $D := \mathrm{Sat}_v(\bigcup_{i=1}^k \partial V_{x_i})$ is closed and disjoint from $\mathcal{M}$, there are disjoint open connected saturated neighborhoods $U_{\mathcal{M}} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^k \{v([0, t_{x_i}(y)], y) \mid y \in V_{x_i}\}$ (resp. U_D) of $\mathcal{M}$ (resp. D). Then $U_{\mathcal{M}} \subseteq \mathrm{Sat}_v(\bigcup_{i=1}^k V_{x_i})$ and $T \cap U_{\mathcal{M}} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^k V_{x_i}$. Let $V \subset V_{x_1}$ be the connected component of $\bigcup_{i=1}^k V_{x_i} \cap U_{\mathcal{M}} = T \cap U_{\mathcal{M}}$ containing $x_1 \in \mathcal{C}$. Since $V \subset U_{\mathcal{M}}$, we have $\mathrm{Sat}_v(V) \cap U_D = \emptyset$ and so $\overline{V} \cap D = \emptyset$. Since $\partial V_{x_1} \subseteq D$, we obtain $\overline{V} \cap \partial V_{x_1} = \emptyset$. The inclusion $V \subset V_{x_1}$ implies $x_1 \in \overline{V} \subset V_{x_1} \subseteq T$. For each $i = 1, \ldots, k$ and $x \in V \cap V_{x_i}$, there is some $j = 1, \ldots, k$ such that $v(t_{x_i}(x), x) \in V_{x_j}$. Then the first return map $G : V \to V$ is well-defined and a continuous injection. Since $U_{\mathcal{M}}$ is saturated and $V \subset V_{x_1}$ is the connected component of $T \cap U_{\mathcal{M}}$ containing x_1, we have that $G(V)$ is also the connected component of $T \cap U_{\mathcal{M}}$ containing x_1 and that $G(V) = V$. This implies that G is a homeomorphism. Define a disk $\mathrm{Fill}_{V_{x_1}}(V) \subseteq V_{x_1}$ as follows: $p \in \mathrm{Fill}_{V_{x_1}}(V)$ if there is a simple closed curve in V which bounds a disk in V_{x_1} containing p. Replacing G with G^2 if necessary, by the construction of $\mathrm{Fill}_{V_{x_1}}(V)$, we can extend G into a homeomorphism $G : \mathrm{Fill}_{V_{x_1}}(V) \to \mathrm{Fill}_{V_{x_1}}(V)$. By Lemma 3.4, the mapping G is a homeomorphism on an open disk $\mathrm{Fill}_{V_{x_1}}(V)$ such that $\mathrm{Fill}_{V_{x_1}}(V)/\hat{G}$ is Hausdorff. The R-closedness of v implies that $\partial(\mathrm{Sat}_v(\mathrm{Fill}_{V_{x_1}}(V))) \subseteq \partial(\mathrm{Sat}_v(V)) = \partial U_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a saturated closed subset of v. By the one point compactification of $\mathrm{Fill}_{V_{x_1}}(V)$, the resulting space $S := (\mathrm{Fill}_{V_{x_1}}(V)) \sqcup \{\infty\}$ is a sphere and the orbit class space $S/\hat{G}$ is Hausdorff. Then Lemma 3.4 and the normality of M imply that the resulting homeomorphism from G is an R-closed homeomorphism on the sphere S with a Cantor minimal set. This contradicts to Corollary2.6 [41]. Thus each one dimensional minimal set is a periodic orbit. □

By surfaces with conners, we mean two dimensional manifolds locally modeled by $[0, 1]^2$.

Lemma 7.5. *Let v be an R-closed flow on a connected compact 3-manifold M. Suppose that each two dimensional minimal set is a suspension of a circloid. If there are at least three distinct periodic orbits, then* $\mathrm{Per}(v)$ *is open dense and* $M = \mathrm{Sing}(v) \sqcup \mathrm{Per}(v)$.

Proof. If there is a three dimensional minimal set, then the R-closedness implies that it has no boundaries and so equals M. Thus there are no three dimensional minimal sets. Let $p : M \to M/\hat{v}$ be the canonical projection. By Lemma 7.3, there are no two dimensional orbits closures. Since locally connected zero (resp. one) dimensional minimal sets are singular (resp. periodic) orbits, we have $M = \mathrm{Sing}(v) \sqcup \mathrm{Per}(v)$. Since $\mathrm{Sing}(v)$ is closed, we have that $\mathrm{Per}(v)$ is open. By Theorem

3.12 [6], the quotient space of $\mathrm{Per}(v)$ is a surface with conner. Since $M/\hat{v}$ is compact Hausdorff, the dimension of $\partial(p(\mathrm{Per}(v)))$ is at most one. Since $\partial(p(\mathrm{Per}(v)))$ consists of singularities, we obtain that the dimension of $\partial(\mathrm{Per}(v))$ is at most one. By Lemma 7.2, we obtain $\overline{\mathrm{Per}(v)} = M$. □

Now, we state the following trichotomy that an nontrivial R-closed flow on a 3-manifold is either "almost two dimensional" or "almost one dimensional" or with "complicated" minimal sets.

Theorem 7.6. *Let v be an nontrivial R-closed flow on a connected compact 3-manifold M. Then one of the following holds:*
1) The orbit class space $M/\hat{v}$ of M is a closed interval or a circle and each interior point of the orbit class is two dimensional.
2) $\mathrm{Per}(v)$ is open dense and $M = \mathrm{Sing}(v) \sqcup \mathrm{Per}(v)$.
3) There is a two dimensional minimal set which is not a suspension of a circloid.

Proof. Suppose that each two dimensional minimal set is a suspension of a circloid. Since v is nontrivial, there is a nontrivial minimal set $\mathcal{M}$. If the minimal set $\mathcal{M}$ is two dimensional, then Lemma 7.3 implies 1). Thus we may assume that there are no two dimensional minimal sets. By Lemma 7.4, each one dimensional minimal set is a periodic orbit and so $\mathcal{M}$ is a periodic orbit. By the flow box theorem, this has a neighbourhood without singularities. Since there are no two dimensional minimal sets, there are infinitely many periodic orbits. By Lemma 7.5, we have that 2) holds. □

Non-trivial R-closed flows on a connected compact 3-manifolds consisting of closed orbits are of type 2). The suspensions of diffeomorphisms which are irrational rotations with respect to an axis on $\mathbb{S}^2$ or $\mathbb{T}^2$ are of type 1) in the above proposition. Moreover there are homeomorphisms of type 1) with minimal sets which are not circles but circloids. In fact, recall that there is the Remage's R-closed homeomorphism in Example 1 [28] (resp. Herman's C^∞ diffeomorphism [19]) on $\mathbb{S}^2$. Moreover each of them can be modified into a toral R-closed homeomorphism with pseudo-circles (resp. C^∞ diffeomorphism with non locally connected continua) as minimal sets [41]. Using R-closed diffeomorphisms on annuli with minimal sets which are circloids but neither circles nor pseudo-circles [39, 25], one can construct R-closed diffeomorphisms with such a minimal set on $\mathbb{S}^2$ and $\mathbb{T}^2$. Then the suspensions of such diffeomorphisms are also of type 1). The author would like to know whether there are R-closed flows on a connected compact 3-manifolds with nontrivial minimal sets which are not suspensions of circloids.

8. Applications to codimension-one-like or codimension-two-like decompositions

First, we consider the codimension one case.

Lemma 8.1. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an R-closed decomposition on a compact connected manifold M without codimension zero element classes and U a connected component of the union of codimension one elements of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$. If U is non-empty and open such that each element of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ in U is a closed connected submanifold, then $M/\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is a closed interval or a circle.*



FIGURE 1. An R-closed decomposition on a closed orientable surface with genus 2 whose class space is a figure eight curve.

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, we have that $M/\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is Hausdorff. Let U be the above open connected component and $p : M \to M/\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ the canonical projection. By Theorem 3.3 [4], we have that $p(U)$ is a 1-manifold. Suppose $p(U)$ is a circle or a closed interval. Then the connectivity of M implies $U = M$. Suppose that U is an interval which is not closed. Since $M/\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is Hausdorff, each convergence sequence has one limit and so the codimension of $\partial p(U) = \overline{U} - \mathrm{int}U = \overline{U} - U$ is more than one. Lemma 7.2 implies that $\overline{U} = M$. The definition of U implies that the closure $\overline{p(U)} = p(M) = M/\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is a closed interval. □

The codimension zero condition is necessary, because there are R-closed decompositions on compact connected surfaces. Indeed, let D be a compact three-punctured disk with a decomposition $\mathcal{F}_D := \{D\}$ and $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{S}^1 \times (0,1)$ an open annulus with a decomposition $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{A}} := \{\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{y\} \mid y \in (0,1)\}$. Gluing D and two copies of $\mathbb{A}$, we obtain a closed surface S of genus 2 and the induced decomposition $\mathcal{F}$ consists of D and essential circles such that the quotient space $S/\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is neither an interval nor a circle but a graph which is a figure eight curve (see Figure 1).

Recall that each continuous codimension one compact foliation on a compact manifold is R-closed. Hence the following corollary is a generalization of a fact that the leaf (class) space of a continuous codimension one compact foliation of a compact manifold is a closed interval or a circle.

Corollary 8.2. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an R-closed foliation on a compact manifold M. If there is a compact $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$-saturated subset C whose codimension is more than one such that all leaf closures of $\mathcal{F}$ in $M - C$ are codimension one closed connected submanifolds, then $M/\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is either a closed interval or a circle.*

Applying Lemma 8.1 to the group-action cases, we obtain a following statement.

Lemma 8.3. *Let G be an R-closed group-action on a compact connected manifold M, H a finite index subgroup of G, and U a connected component of the union of orbit closures of H which are codimension one. If U is non-empty and open such that each orbit closure of H in U is a closed connected submanifold, then $M/\hat{\mathcal{F}}_G$ is a closed interval or a circle such that there are at most two elements whose codimensions are more than one.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.2, we have that H is also R-closed. Put $\hat{\mathcal{F}} := \hat{\mathcal{F}}_H$. Since G is a non-minimal group-action, there are no codimension zero minimal sets. Applying Lemma 8.1, the class space $U/\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is either a circle or a closed interval. Since $\hat{\mathcal{F}} = \hat{\mathcal{F}}_H$ consists of orbit closures, the boundary ∂U also consists of orbit closures whose

codimension are more than one. By Lemma 7.2, we obtain that $\overline{U} = M$ and so that ∂U consists of at most two elements of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$. Hence $M/\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is either a circle or a closed interval and there are at most two elements whose codimensions are more than one. Since G/H is a finite group acting $M/\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ and since a finite union of closures is a closure of finite union, we have that $M/\hat{\mathcal{F}}_G = (M/\hat{\mathcal{F}}_H)/(G/H)$ is either a closed interval or a circle and that there are at most two elements whose codimensions are more than one. □

This lemma implies the following application.

Proposition 8.4. *Let G be an R-closed group-action on a compact connected manifold M and H a finite index subgroup of G. Suppose that all but finitely many orbit closures of H are codimension one closed connected submanifolds. If the codimension of each of finite exceptions is not one, then $M/\hat{\mathcal{F}}_G$ is a closed interval or a circle.*

Proof. Let $\hat{O}_1, \ldots, \hat{O}_k$ be the finite exceptions and $U := M - \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \hat{O}_i$. Then U is the union of orbit closures of H which are codimension one connected submanifolds, and is an open saturated submanifold. If there is a codimension zero minimal set, then the R-closedness implies that it has no boundaries and so equals M, which contradicts to the non-triviality. Thus there are no codimension zero minimal sets and so the codimension of each $\hat{O}_i$ is at least two. By lemma 8.3, we are done. □

Second, we consider the codimension two case. Consider the direct system $\{K_a\}$ of compact subsets of a topological space X and inclusion maps such that the interiors of K_a cover X. There is a corresponding inverse system $\{\pi_0(X - K_a)\}$, where $\pi_0(Y)$ denotes the set of connected components of a space Y. Then the set of ends of X is defined to be the inverse limit of this inverse system.

Lemma 8.5. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an R-closed decomposition on a compact manifold M. Suppose that all but finitely many element closures of $\mathcal{F}$ are codimension two closed connected submanifolds. If each of finite exceptions is connected and has codimension at least three, then $M/\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is a surface with conners.*

Proof. Let $L_1, \ldots, L_k$ be all higher codimension elements of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$. Removing higher codimensional elements and M' be the resulting manifold, $\hat{\mathcal{F}}'$ the resulting decomposition of M'. Then $\hat{\mathcal{F}}'$ consists of codimension two closed connected submanifolds and is usc. By Theorem 3.12 [6], we have that $M'/\hat{\mathcal{F}}'$ is a surface S' with conners. Then $(M/\hat{\mathcal{F}}) - \{L_1, \ldots, L_k\} \cong M'/\hat{\mathcal{F}}' = S'$. We will show that S' has k ends. Indeed, since the exceptions L_i are finite and connected, there are pairwise disjoint neighbourhoods U_i of them. Since $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is usc, there are pairwise disjoint saturated neighbourhoods $V_i \subseteq U_i$ of them. Since $W_i - L_i$ is connected for any connected neighbourhoods W_i of L_i, each end of S' is corresponded to some L_i. This shows that S' has k ends corresponding to $\{L_1, \ldots, L_k\}$. Therefore $M/\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is an end compactification of $M'/\hat{\mathcal{F}}'$ and so a surface S with conners. □

Recall that each continuous codimension two compact foliation on a compact manifold is R-closed. Hence the following corollary is a generalization of the fact that the leaf (class) space of a continuous codimension two compact foliation of a compact manifold is a compact orbifold.

Corollary 8.6. *The leaf class space of a codimension-two-like R-closed foliation on a compact manifold is a surface with conners.*

Applying the previous lemma to the group-action cases, we have a following statement.

Lemma 8.7. *Let G be an R-closed group-action on a compact manifold M and H a finite index subgroup of G. If H is codimension-two-like, then the orbit class space $M/\hat{\mathcal{F}}_G$ is a surface with conners.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.2, we have that H is also R-closed. Applying Lemma 8.5, $M/\hat{\mathcal{F}}_H$ is a surface with conners. Since G/H implies a finite group-action on S and since any finite union of closures is the closure of finite union, we obtain that $M/\hat{\mathcal{F}}_G \cong (M/\hat{\mathcal{F}}_H)/(G/H)$ is a surface with conners. □

Summarizing Corollary 8.2 and 8.6, we obtain a following statement for foliations.

Theorem 8.8. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an R-closed foliation on a compact connected manifold M and $k = 2$ (resp. $k = 1$). If $\mathcal{F}$ is codimension-k-like, then the leaf class space $M/\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is a surface with conners (resp. a closed interval or a circle).*

Similarly, Lemma 8.7 and Proposition 8.4 imply the following statement for group-actions.

Theorem 8.9. *Let G be an R-closed group-action on a compact connected manifold M, H a finite index subgroup of G, and $k = 2$ (resp. $k = 1$). If G is codimension-k-like, then $M/\hat{\mathcal{F}}_G$ is a surface with conners (resp. a closed interval or a circle).*

9. Examples

We states several examples as follows.

9.1. **Codimension-one-like non-R-closed cases.** A Denjoy foliation on a torus is codimension-one-like but not R-closed. Moreover a Morse-Smale diffeomorphism on a closed n-manifold is codimension-n-like but not R-closed.

9.2. **Codimension-one-like (non-)R-closed flows on surfaces.** A spherical flow which is a non-trivial rotation with respect to an axis is codimension-one-like and R-closed, and so the orbit class space is a closed interval. Moreover, there are codimension-one-like R-closed spherical homeomorphisms (resp. diffeomorphisms) with minimal sets which are not circles but circloids [28, 39] (resp. [19, 25]). On the other hand, a toral flow v defined by $v_t(x, y) = (x + t\sin(2\pi y), y) \in (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^2$ is not R-closed.

9.3. **Codimension-one-like (non-)R-closed flows on 3-manifolds.** For an irrational number $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$, the suspension of a spherical diffeomorphism f defined by $f(\sqrt{1-z^2}\cos\theta, \sqrt{1-z^2}\sin\theta, z) := (\sqrt{1-z^2}\cos(\theta+\alpha), \sqrt{1-z^2}\sin(\theta+\alpha), z) \in \mathbb{S}^2$ (i.e. an irrational rotation with respect to the z-axis) is a codimension-one-like R-closed flow on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ and so the orbit class space is a closed interval, where $\mathbb{S}^2 := \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1\}$. Contrary, the suspension of a periodic spherical diffeomorphism (e.g. a rational rotation) is a codimension-two-like R-closed flow on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$, and the Hopf fibration on $\mathbb{S}^3$ is a codimension-two-like R-closed foliation whose class space is a sphere. Moreover each Seifert fibration is

a codimension-two-like R-closed foliation. Note that (the suspension of) a spherical diffeomorphism $f : \mathbb{S}^2 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ defined by $f(\sqrt{1-z^2}\cos\theta, \sqrt{1-z^2}\sin\theta, z) := (\sqrt{1-z^2}\cos(\theta+z), \sqrt{1-z^2}\sin(\theta+z), z)$ is pointwise almost periodic but not R-closed.

9.4. **Codimension-two-like R-closed cases.** Recall that the topological suspension SX of a topological space X is the quotient space defined by $SX := (X \times I)/\sim$, where $(x_1, s_1) \sim (x_2, s_2)$ if $s_1 = s_2 \in \{0, 1\}$. Since $\mathbb{S}^3$ is a topological suspension of $\mathbb{S}^2$, the induced homeomorphism Sf (i.e. $Sf([x, s]) := [f(x), s]$) on the topological suspension $\mathbb{S}^3 = S\mathbb{S}^2$ of a codimension-one-like R-closed spherical homeomorphism f is codimension-two-like and R-closed, and the class space is either a sphere or a closed disk with two corners.

10. Remarks

Finally, we state two remarks.

Remark 1. *There are pairs of flows on a closed manifolds which are not topological equivalent but whose orbit (class) spaces are homeomorphic.*

Proof. Consider two minimal linear flows on the flat torus $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^2$ generated by vector fields v, v' such that $g(v, \cdot) = [dx_1 + \sqrt{2}dx_2], g(v', \cdot) = [dx_1 + \pi dx_2] \in H^1((\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^2, \mathbb{Z})$ respectively, where g is the induced Riemann metric. Though the orbit spaces are indiscrete spaces with cardinalities of the continuum and the orbit class spaces are singletons, the minimal flows are not topological equivalent because π is transcendental and $\sqrt{2}$ is algebraic. □

Remark 2. *There are no codimension one R-closed foliations on $\mathbb{S}^3$. On the other hand, there are codimension-one-like R-closed foliations on $\mathbb{S}^3$.*

Proof. By the C^0 Novikov Compact Leaf Theorem [So], there are no codimension one foliations on $\mathbb{S}^3$ with Reeb components and so there are no codimension one R-closed foliations on $\mathbb{S}^3$. Contrary, consider an irrational flow v_1 on a torus $\mathbb{T}^2$, which induces a Kronecker foliation. Let v_2 be a flow on $\mathbb{T}^2 \times [0, 1]$ defined by $v_2(p, s) := (v_1(p), s)$. Define an equivalent relation $\sim$ as follows: $(x, y, s) \sim (x', y', s')$ if either $s = s' = 0$ and $x = x'$ or $s = s' = 1$ and $y = y'$. Then the quotient space $(\mathbb{T}^2 \times [0, 1])/\sim$ is a sphere $\mathbb{S}^3$ such that the induced flow v has exactly two periodic orbits $[\mathbb{T}^2 \times \{0\}]$ and $[\mathbb{T}^2 \times \{1\}]$ and each orbit class except two periodic orbits is a torus. This means that the induced foliation $\mathcal{F}_v$ is a codimension-one-like R-closed foliations on $\mathbb{S}^3$. □

References

[1] Auslander, J.; Glasner, E.; Weiss, B., *On recurrence in zero dimensional flows* Forum Math. 19 (2007), no. 1, 107–114.

[2] T. Cherry, *Topological properties of solutions of ordinary differential equations*, *Amer. J. Math.* 59(1937), 957–982.

[3] H. Colman, S. Hurder, *LS-category of compact-Hausdorff foliations* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 356 (2004), no. 4, 1463–1487.

[4] R. Daverman, *Decompositions of manifolds into codimension one submanifolds*, *Compositio Math.* 55 (1985), no. 2, 185–207.

[5] R. Daverman, *Decompositions of manifolds* Pure and Applied Mathematics, 124. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1986.

[6] R. Daverman, *Decompositions into codimension two submanifolds: the nonorientable case* Special volume in honor of R. H. Bing (1914–1986). Topology Appl. 24 (1986), no. 1-3, 71–81.

[7] K. de Cesare and T. Nagano, *On compact foliations, Differential Geometry*, (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 27, Part 1), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1975, pp. 277–281.
[8] R. Edwards, K. Millett, and D. Sullivan, *Foliations with all leaves compact*, *Topology*, 16, 13–32, 1977.
[9] S. Elaydi, *On some stability notions in topological dynamics* J. Differential Equations, 47 (1983), 24–34.
[10] D. B. A. Epstein, *Periodic flows on 3-manifolds*, *Ann. of Math.*, 95, 68–82, 1972
[11] D. B. A. Epstein, *Foliations with all leaves compact*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble).*, 26, 265–282,1976.
[12] D. Epstein, H. Rosenberg, *Stability of compact foliations*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 597 (1978), 151–160.
[13] D.B.A. Epstein and E. Vogt, *A counter-example to the periodic orbit conjecture in codimension 3*, *Ann. of Math.* (2), 108, 539–552, 1978.
[14] P. Erdös and A. H. Stone, *Some remarks on almost periodic transformations*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 51, (1945). 126–130.
[15] R. Fujisaki, *Stability of singular leaves of compact Hausdorff foliations with tori as generic leaves*, Tokyo J. Math. 22 (1999), no. 1, 213–234.
[16] k. Fukui, A. Mori, *Codimension two compact Hausdorff foliations by hyperbolic surfaces are not stable*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 36 (2000), no. 3, 321–336.
[17] W. Gottschalk and G. Hedlund, *Topological Dynamics*, Amer. Math. Soc. Publ., vol. 36, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1955
[18] Hattab, H., *Pointwise recurrent one-dimensional flows*, Dyn. Syst. 26 (2011), no. 1, 77–83.
[19] M. R. Herman, *Construction of some curious diffeomorphisms of the Riemann sphere*, *J. London Math. Soc.* 34(1986) 375–384.
[20] H. Holmann, *Seifertsche Faserräume*, Math. Ann. 157 (1964), 138–166.
[21] W. Hurewicz and K. Menger, *Dimension and Zusammenhangsstuffe*, *Math. Ann.*, 100 (1928) pp. 618–633.
[22] Ronald A. Knight, *Prolongationally stable transformation groups*, Math. Zeits., 161 (1978), 189–194.
[23] Kenneth C. Millett, *Compact foliations*, Differential topology and geometry (Proc. Colloq., Dijon, 1974) Springer, Berlin, 1975, 277–287. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 484.
[24] D. Montgomery, *Pointwise periodic homeomorphisms*, *Amer. J. Math.* 59 (1937), 118–120.
[25] H. Nakayama, *Surface diffeomorphisms with connected but not path-connected minimal sets containing arcs*, preprint.
[26] M. Nicolau, A. Reventós, *Compact Hausdorff foliations*, Differential geometry (Peñíscola, 1982), 147–153, Lecture Notes in Math., 1045, Springer, Berlin, 1984.
[27] J. Plante, *Stability of codimension one foliations by compact leaves*, Topology 22 (1983), 173–177.
[28] R. Remage, *On minimal sets in the plane*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 13 1962 41–47.
[29] R. Sacksteder, *Foliations and pseudogroups*, *Amer. J. Math.* 87 (1965), 79–102.
[So] V. V. Solodov., *Components of topological foliations* Mat. Sb. (N.S.), 119(161)(3): 340–354, 447, 1982.
[30] D. Sullivan, *A Counterexample to the Periodic Orbit Conjecture*, *Publ. Math. IHES*, 46, 5–14, 1976.
[31] W. P. Thurston, *Existence of codimension-one foliations*, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 104 (1976), no. 2, 249–268.
[32] D. Tischler, *On fibering certain foliated manifolds over* S^1, *Topology* 9 1970 153–154.
[33] L.A. Tumarkin, *Sur la structure dimensionelle des ensembles fermés*, *C.R. Acad. Paris*, 186 (1928) pp. 420–422.
[34] E. Vogt, *Stable foliations of 4-manifolds by closed surfaces. I. Local structure and free actions of finite cyclic and dihedral groups on surfaces*, Invent. Math. 22 (1973), 321–348.
[35] E. Vogt, *Foliations of codimension 2 with all leaves compact*, Manuscripta Math., 18, 187–212, 1976
[36] E. Vogt, *Foliations of codimension 2 with all leaves compact on closed 3-, 4-, and 5-manifolds* Math. Z. 157 (1977), no. 3, 201–223.
[37] E. Vogt, *A periodic flow with infinite Epstein hierarchy*, *Manuscripta Math.*, 22, 403–412, 1977.
[38] E. Vogt, *Foliations with few non-compact leaves*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 2 (2002), 257–284.

[39] R. Walker, *Periodicity and decomposability of basin boundaries with irrational maps on prime ends*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 324 (1991), 303–317.
[40] T. Yokoyama, *Recurrence, pointwise almost periodicity and orbit closure relation for flows and foliations*, *Topology Appl.* 160 (2013) pp. 2196–2206.
[41] T. Yokoyama, *R-closed homeomorphisms on surfaces*, *Topology Appl.* 160 (2013) pp. 1870–1875.
[42] T. Yokoyama, *Minimal sets of R-closed surface homeomorphisms*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser.* I 350 (2012) 1051–1053.
[43] N.I. Zhukova, *Local and global stability of compact leaves and foliations*, Zh. Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom. 9 (2013), no. 3, 400–420.

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University of Education/JST PRESTO, 1 Fujinomori, Fukakusa, Fushimi-ku Kyoto, 612-8522, Japan,
E-mail address: tomoo@kyokyo-u.ac.jp